

Charity Gaming Association

Questionnaire on Councils Gambling Venue Policy Reviews - Perceptions and Intentions

Position OFFICIAL Title
 Name
 TA Name
 Contact Phone
 Contact Email
 Date of Interview

- 1 Did you get sufficient information to make an informed decision/recommendation?
 Yes
 Unsure
 No
- 2 How useful was the information you did receive?
 Very useful
 Moderately useful
 Not useful
- 3 How accurate did you feel the information was?
 Highly accurate
 Moderately accurate
 Not accurate (ie unreliable)
 Don't know
- 4 List all of the organisations you received input from
 MoH
 DIA
 Statistics NZ
 CGA
 Gambling Providers
 PGF
 Other PG treatment providers
 Religious Groups
 Ethnic Groups
 Community Groups
 Other Local businesses
 Grant recipients
 Other
- 5 How was the review undertaken?
 By Council officers only
 Councillors reviewed
 External parties
 Combination of the above
- 6 How important is it to take local community perceptions and attitudes into account in the review process?
 Very important
 Moderately important
 Not important
- 7 Was the local community engaged in this latest review?
 Yes
 No
- 8 *If Yes* How was the local community engaged?
- 9 What did you do with regard to the social impact of Class IV Gambling?
 Wrote to residents
 Included information in Ratings Newsletter/Newspapers
 Surveyed residents
 Visited gambling venues
 Asked PGF for information
 Contacted PG Treatment SPs
 Sourced info from MoH/DIA
 Hosted community meeting/s
 Engaged external firm to do SIA
 Other

- 10** How important was the consideration of how much money from gm's came back into the district?
- Very Important
- Moderately important
- Not important
- 11** How important was the consideration of which groups or people in the community benefitted from pokie trust funds?
- Very Important
- Moderately important
- Not important
- 12** How important is it to achieve a balance between grants to the local community and the need to fund significant national and regional activities?
- Very important
- Moderately important
- Not important
- 13** How were your experiences with the following stakeholders?
- | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| CGA | Helpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Unhelpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| PGF | Helpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Unhelpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Gambling Providers | Helpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Unhelpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Local Community | Helpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Unhelpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Others - DIA MoH etc | Helpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Unhelpful | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- 14** Did you choose to go through the special consultative procedures?
- Yes
- No
- 15** *If Yes* Why?
If No Why Not?
- 16** Were you comfortable you made the best decision possible?
- Yes
- No
- 17** *If No* Why Not?
- 18** What information did you NOT get that you would have liked?
- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Statistics on grants back to local community | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Statistics on grants going to other communities | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Statistics on grants going to national or regional recipients | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Comparisons with these rates of return for other TLA regions | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Statistics on Problem Gambling | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Details of research relevant to gambling | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Statistics on population | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other info | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- 19** Will you wait for the full three years to undergo the next review or is there a prospect of reviewing the policy earlier?
- Yes
- No
- 20** *If No* What are the particular triggers for an earlier review?
- 21** Does the current grants distribution system appear to work for your community?
- Yes
- No
- 22** *If No* In what way/s does it not appear to work?
- 23** What have you learned for the next time the policy is reviewed?
- Name of Councillor who was chair of the committee that reviewed the policy or who was most involved in the review?
- Name
- Phone
- Email

Questionnaire on Councils Gambling Venue Policy Reviews - Perceptions and Intentions

Position **COUNCILLOR** Title
 Name
 TA Name
 Contact Phone
 Contact Email
 Date of Interview

- 1 As a Councillor, did you think enough information was available to make a properly informed decision?
 Yes
 Unsure
 No
- 2 How useful was the information you did receive?
 Very useful
 Moderately useful
 Not useful
- 3 How accurate did you feel the information was?
 Highly accurate
 Moderately accurate
 Not accurate (ie unreliable)
 Don't know
- 6 How important is it to take local community perceptions and attitudes into account in the review process?
 Very important
 Moderately important
 Not important
- 10 How important was the consideration of how much money from gm's came back into the district?
 Very Important
 Moderately important
 Not important
- 11 How important was the consideration of which groups or people in the community benefitted from pokie trust funds?
 Very Important
 Moderately important
 Not important
- 12 How important is it to achieve a balance between grants to the local community and the need to fund significant national and regional activities?
 Very important
 Moderately important
 Not important
- 16 Were you comfortable you made the best decision possible?
 Yes
 No
- 17 *If No* Why Not?
- 19 Will you wait for the full three years to undergo the next review or is there a prospect of reviewing the policy earlier?
 Yes
 No
- 20 *If No* What are the particular triggers for an earlier review?
- 21 Does the current grants distribution system appear to work for your community?
 Yes
 No
- 22 *If No* In what way/s does it not appear to work?
- 23 What have you learned for the next time the policy is reviewed?